STA 408: DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF EXPERIEMENTS

S. M. Muslim Raza Instructor Statistics Virtual university of Pakistan



Contents

1. Basic concepts of ANOVA

2. CR Design



Data obtained from observational or experimental studies can be used for the analysis.

We want to use the sample results to test the following hypotheses:

$$H_0: \ \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \cdots = \mu_k$$

 H_a : Not all population means are equal

$$H_0: \ \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \cdots = \mu_k$$

 H_{a} : Not all population means are equal

If H_0 is rejected, we cannot conclude that *all* population means are different.

Rejecting H_0 means that at least two population means have different values.

Assumptions for Analysis of Variance

For each population, the response (dependent) variable is normally distributed.

The variance of the response variable, denoted σ^2 , is the same for all of the populations.

The observations must be independent.

Sampling Distribution of \overline{x} Given H_0 is True



■ Sampling Distribution of \overline{x} Given H_0 is False



Analysis of Variance

Between-Treatments Estimate of Population Variance

Within-Treatments Estimate of Population Variance

Comparing the Variance Estimates: The F Test

ANOVA Table

Between-Treatments Estimate of Population Variance σ^2

The estimate of σ² based on the variation of the sample means is called the mean square due to treatments and is denoted by MSTR.



Denominator is the <u>degrees of freedom</u> associated with SSTR Numerator is called the <u>sum of squares due</u> <u>to treatments</u> (SSTR)

Within-Treatments Estimate of Population Variance σ^2

The estimate of σ^2 based on the variation of the sample observations within each sample is called the <u>mean square error</u> and is denoted by <u>MSE</u>.



Comparing the Variance Estimates: The *F* Test

- If the null hypothesis is true and the ANOVA assumptions are valid, the sampling distribution of MSTR/MSE is an *F* distribution with MSTR d.f. equal to *k* 1 and MSE d.f. equal to *n*_T *k*.
- ▶ If the means of the *k* populations are not equal, the value of MSTR/MSE will be inflated because MSTR overestimates σ^2 .
- Hence, we will reject H₀ if the resulting value of MSTR/MSE appears to be too large to have been selected at random from the appropriate F distribution.

Comparing the Variance Estimates: The *F* Test

Sampling Distribution of MSTR/MSE



Test for the Equality of *k* Population Means



I Test Statistic

$$F = MSTR/MSE$$

Test for the Equality of k Population Means

Rejection Rule

p-value Approach:

Reject H_0 if *p*-value $\leq \alpha$

Critical Value Approach:

Reject H_0 if $F \ge F_{\alpha}$

where the value of F_{α} is based on an *F* distribution with *k* - 1 numerator d.f. and $n_{\rm T}$ - *k* denominator d.f.

ANOVA Table

The ANOVA table decomposes the variance into two components (sources): a between-group component (treatments) and a within-group component (error). The Fratio, which in this case equals 15.6234, is a ratio of the between-group estimate to the within-group estimate. Since the P-value of the F-test is less than 0.05, there is a statistically significant difference between the means from one level to another at the 95.0% confidence level.

AIVOVA Table for hours by brand								
Source	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F-Ratio	P-Value			
Between groups	1.08917	3	0.363057	15.62	0.0000			
Within groups	0.557714	24	0.0232381					
Total (Corr.)	1.64689	27						

ANOVA Table for hours by brand

Completely randomized design (CRD)

A <u>factor</u> is a variable that the experimenter has selected for investigation (the independent variable).

A <u>treatment</u> is a level of a factor.

Experimental units are the objects of interest in the experiment.

A <u>completely randomized design</u> is an experimental design in which the treatments are randomly assigned to the experimental units.

COMPLETELY RANDOMIZED DESIGN

A completely randomized (CR) design, which is the simplest type of the basic designs, may be defined as a design in which the treatments are assigned to experimental units completely at random, that is the randomization is done without any restrictions. The design is completely flexible, i.e. any number of treatments and any number of units per treatment may be used. Moreover, the number of units per treatment need not be equal. A completely randomized design is considered to be most useful in situations where (i) the experimental units are homogeneous, (ii) the experiments are small such as laboratory experiments and (iii) some experimental units are likely to be destroyed or to fail to respond.

When the samples of experimental units for each treatment are random and independent of each other
Design is used to compare the treatment means:

 $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots \mu_k$

 H_a : At least two of the treatment means differ

 The hypotheses are tested by comparing the differences between the treatment means.

 Test statistic is calculated using measures of variability within treatment groups and measures of variability between treatment groups Steps for Conducting an Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for a Completely Randomized Design:

- 1- Assure randomness of design, and independence, randomness of samples
 - 2- Check normality, equal variance assumptions
 - 3- Create ANOVA summary table
 - 4- Conduct multiple comparisons for pairs of means as necessary/desired

Assumptions

<u>1- Normality:</u>

You can check on normality using 1- Normal probability plot 2- Kolmogorve test

<u>2- Constant variance</u>:

You can check on homogeneity of variances using 1- Histogram Plot 2- leven's test.

CRD

ANOVA Summary Table for a Completely Randomized Design

Source	df	SS	MS	F
Treatments	<i>k</i> –1	SST	$MST = \frac{SST}{k-1}$	$\frac{MST}{MSE}$
Error	n-k	SSE	$MSE = \frac{SSE}{n-k}$	
Total	<i>n</i> -1	SS(Total)		

Advantages of a CRD

 Very flexible design (i.e. number of treatments and replicates is only limited by the available number of experimental units).

Statistical analysis is simple compared to other designs.

Loss of information due to missing data is small compared to other designs due to the larger number of degrees of freedom for the error source of variation.

Disadvantages

- If experimental units are not homogeneous and you fail to minimize this variation using blocking, there may be a loss of precision.
- Usually the least efficient design unless experimental units are homogeneous.
- Not suited for a large number of treatments.

Experimental Layout:

An example of the experimental layout for a completely randomized design (CR) using four treatments A, B, C and D, each repeated 3 times, is given below:

Example:

An experiment was conducted to compare the yields of three varieties of potatoes. Each variety was assigned at random to equal-size plots, four times. The yields were as follows:

Variety						
А	В	С				
23	18	16				
26	28	25				
20	17	12				
17	21	14				

Test the hypothesis that the three varieties of potatoes are not different in the yielding capabilities.

Example:

Three fertilizer treatments A, B, C each applied to seven plots of strawberry plants, resulted in the following weights of crops (Ib/plot):

Α	24	18	18	29	22	17	15
В	46	39	37	50	44	45	30
С	32	30	26	41	36	28	27

Perform the analysis of variance to test the hypothesis of no difference in the treatment effects. Use a 0.01 level of significance.

Solution:

Formulation of Hypothesis:

 $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3$ $H_1: At least two means are not equal.$

Level of Significance:

 $\alpha = 0.05$.

Test Statistics:

 $F = \frac{MS \ Treatment}{MS \ Error}$

Calculation:

Α	24	18	18	29	22	17	15	143
В	46	39	37	50	44	45	30	291
С	32	30	26	41	36	28	27	220
Total								654

Here $T_{.1} = 143$, $T_{.2} = 291$, $T_{.3} = 220$

 $T_{...} = 654$

Total SS= $\sum \sum X_{ii}^2 - CF$ $CF = \frac{T^2}{N} + \frac{N}{N}$, where $N = \underline{nk} = 7(3) = 21$. $CF = \frac{(654)^2}{21} = 20367.43$ Total SS= $\sum \sum X_{ii}^2 - CF$

Total $SS=24^2 + 18^2 + 18^2 + ... + 28^2 - 20367.43$

= 22520 - 20367.43 = 2152.57





$$= \frac{1}{7} \left[(143)^2 + (291)^2 + (220)^2 \right] - 20367.43$$

= 21932.86 - 20367.43 = 1564.43

Error SS= Total SS - Treat SS

= 2152.57 - 1564.43 = 587.14

Source of	Sum of squares	Degree of	Mean squares	F ratio
Variation		freedom		
Treatment	1565.43	k -1 = 2	=1564.43/2	
			=782.72	F=782.72/32.62
Error	587.14	N - k = 18	=587.14/18	=24.00
			=32.62	
Total	2152.57	N – 1 = 20		

Critical Region:

Reject Ho, if

 $F_{cal} \geq F_{\alpha(k-1,N-k)}$

 $24.00 \ge F_{0.05(2,18)}$

24.00 > 3.55

We reject Ho.

Conclusion:

Since the value 24.00 > 3.55 fall in the critical region, so we reject Ho or also we conclude that the there is a difference between the treatment effects.

THANK YOU